Case 2:13-cv-20000-RDP	Document 3341-2	Filed 07/30/25	Page 1 of 3	
				2025 Jul-30 AM 0:16 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALAE AMA
				N.B. OF ALARA
	xhibi	t 2		
—	AIIIOI			

Checklist of Objections

HCA

Objection	Response
The Providers' release is not limited to the	Blues' Brief at 8–10
"identical factual predicate"	Providers' Brief at 23–25
	Slides 22 & 28
The Providers' release is ambiguous	Blues' Brief (Doc. No. 3334) at 7
because it is not identical to the	Providers' Brief (Doc. No. 3313-1) at 25–27,
Subscribers' release	28–30
	Slides 23-27

ER Groups

Objection	Response
The procedures for opting out and	Providers' Brief at 35
submitting claims are unduly burdensome	Slide 30
The release is too ambiguous to comply	Blues' Brief at 6
with the "identical factual predicate"	Providers' Brief at 23–25
	Slides 22 & 28
"Ordinary course of business" is not	Blues' Brief at 6
defined	Providers' Brief at 27–28
	Slide 31-32
The "caveat" to the "ordinary course of	Blues' Brief at 8–10
business" exception impermissibly	Providers' Brief at 28–30
expands the release	Slide 33
The release does not carve out common-	Blues' Brief at 6–7
law claims such as unjust enrichment and	Providers' Brief at 29
quantum meruit	Slide 34
The release could bind opt-outs whose	Blues' Brief at 10–12
affiliates did not opt out	Providers' Brief at 30-32
	Slide 35
The Plan of Distribution treats out-of-	Providers' Brief at 32-35
network emergency providers inequitably	Slide 36

Dr. Egner

Objection	Response
The allocation of funds between facilities	Providers' Brief at 35–37
and professionals is inequitable	Slide 19, 38
The requested administrative costs and	Providers' Brief at 37-39
attorneys' fees are disproportionately high	Slide 42